



PORT COLBORNE

Memorandum

To: City Council
From: Heritage Subcommittee and the Planner
Date: April 9, 2025
Re: Recommendations from the Heritage Subcommittee

[Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act \(OHA\)](#) require municipalities to designate properties listed on their Heritage Registers by January 1, 2027, or the properties must be removed from the Register and cannot be re-added to the Register for at least 5 years thereafter.

As part of the ongoing effort to designate properties on the [City of Port Colborne Heritage Register](#) before 2027, the Heritage Subcommittee has voted to recommend that Council pursue the designation of a number of properties.

Section 28 of the [OHA](#) provides that municipal heritage committees are to advise and assist the council of a municipality on matters relating to Part IV (Conservation of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest) and Part V (Heritage Conservation Districts).

Section 29 of the OHA allows the council of a municipality to, by by-law, designate a property within the municipality to be of cultural heritage value or interest if the prescribed criteria are met and the designation is made in accordance with section 29.

Before a property can be designated under Part IV of the OHA, section 29 (3) requires that a notice of intention to designate under subsection (1) is served on the owner of the property and on the Trust and published in a newspaper having general circulation in the municipality.

The following 14 resolutions have been passed by the Heritage Subcommittee to advise and assist Council in the conservation of property or cultural heritage value or interest.

The Heritage Subcommittee and Planning staff respectfully request that Council consider passing the resolutions contained herein to allow Planning staff to begin the designation process provided for under the OHA.

If these resolutions are approved, Planning staff will begin preparing and serving the required notices over the next two years.

At the [November 20, 2023, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member C. MacMillan
Seconded by: Member B. Schneider

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **172 King Street** based on architectural criteria for the southeast design feature of the building, and the historical context of the building as it was constructed and owned by a prominent local family.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member G. Hoyle
Seconded by: Member C. MacMillan

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **143 King Street** based on architectural criteria, as the building has remained largely unchanged since its construction and based on historical criteria, as the dwelling was constructed by Salvatore Shickluna, a prominent ship carpenter, in the late 1800's.

CARRIED

At the [December 18, 2023, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member G. Hoyle

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **83 Tennessee Avenue** based on the historical value, as it has direct associations with an organization that is significant to the community and contributes to an understanding of the community. Additionally, the subject lands have contextual value as they define, maintain, and support the character of the area and is historically linked to its surroundings.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member C. MacMillan

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **115 Kent Street** based on physical value of the existing front door and windows and the high degree of craftsmanship displayed. Additionally the property has historical value as it is associated multiple individuals who are significant to the community and the property is important in defining the character of the area.

CARRIED

At the [January 22, 2024, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member C. MacMillan

That a heritage designation be pursued for **48 and 50 Neff Street** based on the physical value of the existing front door and windows and the high degree of craftsmanship displayed. Additionally, the property has historical value as it is associated with multiple individuals who are significant to the community and the property is important in defining the character of the area.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member C. MacMillan

That a heritage designation be pursued for **44 King Street** based on the design and physical value of the building as it displays a high degree of craftsmanship, and has contextual value as it is visually linked to its surroundings. Additionally, the property displays historical value as it yields or has the potential to yield information that contributes to an understanding of the community.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member G. Hoyle

That a heritage designation be pursued for **599 King Street** as it displays a design value that is rare, unique, or representative of a style, type, expression and construction method. Secondly, the subject lands have historical value as it has a direct association with an organization/institution that is significant to the community.

CARRIED

At the [February 12, 2024, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member B. Schneider

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **55 Charlotte Street** for the church itself not including the western renovated addition to the building based on design, historical, and contextual value of the building as it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a construction type, has artistic merit, demonstrates a high degree of technical and scientific achievement, direct associations with an event or

institution within the community (the Welland Canal), yields or potential to yield understanding of a community or culture (the Welland Canal), designer is significant to the City of Port Colborne, linked to its surroundings, and is a landmark.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member B. Schneider
Seconded by: Member G. Hoyle

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **123 King Street** for the church itself not including the parish hall the building based on design, historical, and contextual value of the building as it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method, displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit, demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community, and is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member B. Schneider
Seconded by: Member L. Brazeau

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for **19 Tennessee Avenue** entire property based on design, historical, and contextual value of the building as it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method, it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community, it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area, it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings, and it is a landmark.

CARRIED

At the [March 18, 2024, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member G. Hoyle
Seconded by: Member J. Piniak

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for the **214 Steele Street** property based on design, historical, and contextual value of the building as it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method, it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit, it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement, it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community, and it is a landmark.

CARRIED

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member J. Piniak

The Committee voted to recommend pursuing a heritage designation for the **5222 Second Concession Road** property based on design, historical, and contextual value of the building as it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method, it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community, it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community, and it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings.

CARRIED

At the [May 13, 2024, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolutions were carried.

Moved by: Member L. Brazeau
Seconded by: Member J. Piniak

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend to Council that **735 Pinecrest Road** be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act.

CARRIED

At the [February 24, 2025, meeting of the Heritage Subcommittee](#), the following resolution was carried.

Moved by: Member M. Hili
Seconded by: Member C. MacMillan

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend to Council that **189 Davis Street** be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act.

CARRIED