

Public Comments/Questions and Responses – 360 Killaly Street East

Note: Comments/Questions have been summarized in the chart below. The full comments can be found in the pages following the chart.

Member of the Public	Comment/question	Response
Lisa and Rick Doddridge – 376 Killaly Street East	Comment 1 - Concerns regarding parking and the impact that forcing overflow parking onto the street will have.	Parking has been proposed at a rate of 1 space per unit. The reduction in parking will assist in achieving additional residential units, contributing to the City's intensification target. Staff will work with the developer to explore opportunities for additional parking on site through the site plan control process.
Lisa and Rick Doddridge – 376 Killaly Street East	Comment 2 – Concerns with winter snow removal and the piling up of snow on site. Snow piles have the potential to create visibility issues.	Snow removal on the property will be the responsibility of the developer. Staff will work with the developer to explore efficiencies through the site plan control application with respect to snow storage.
Lisa and Rick Doddridge – 376 Killaly Street East	Comment 3 – The current infrastructure is not designed to handle the increase in volume from these units.	The developer will be required to submit a Functional Servicing Report to confirm the services are adequate for the proposed development. Any upgrades required as a result of the development would be the responsibility of the developer.
Lisa and Rick Doddridge – 376 Killaly Street East	Comment 4 – Concerns with traffic increasing on an already busy street and intersection. Question regarding whether a traffic	Given the scale of the development, Planning and Public Works are of the opinion that impacts on traffic patterns will be minimal. Staff did not

Public Comments/Questions and Responses – 360 Killaly Street East

Note: Comments/Questions have been summarized in the chart below. The full comments can be found in the pages following the chart.

	study has been completed to gauge the impact.	request a traffic study for this development.
Lisa and Rick Doddridge – 376 Killaly Street East	Comment 5 – Privacy concerns with respect to surrounding backyards and the increase in building height.	Staff have recommended that Council approve a revised Zoning By-law Amendment that will cap the height of the building at 11m, which is in line with the existing zoning for the area.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 1 – Concerns with the proposed building not being symmetrical with the rest of the neighbourhood.	The scale and density fits within the Official Plan policies for the area. The height of the building is proposed to be capped at 11m.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 2 – Concerns with the building not having any additional parking spaces for visitors.	Parking has been proposed at a rate of 1 space per unit. The reduction in parking will assist in achieving additional residential units, contributing to the City's intensification target. Staff will work with the developer to explore opportunities for additional parking on site through the site plan control process.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 3 – Privacy concerns with respect to surrounding backyards and the increase in building height.	Staff have recommended that Council approve a revised Zoning By-law Amendment that will cap the height of the building at 11m, which is in line with the existing zoning for the area.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 4 – Concerns about blasting to get through bedrock.	Blasting is not a permitted form of rock removal in Port Colborne.

Public Comments/Questions and Responses – 360 Killaly Street East

Note: Comments/Questions have been summarized in the chart below. The full comments can be found in the pages following the chart.

Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 5 – Questions the capability of the existing services for a development of this nature.	The developer will be required to submit a Functional Servicing Report to confirm the services are adequate for the proposed development. Any upgrades required as a result of the development would be the responsibility of the developer.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 6 – Not enough greenspace on the property.	The proposal meets the minimum landscaped area requirements of the By-law. Staff review for suitable landscaping materials through the site plan control process.
Lori Sturman – 370 Killaly Street East	Comment 7 – Construction will disrupt their sleep schedule.	Unfortunately, this is not something that can be considered through a Zoning By-law Amendment process. The City has an existing Noise By-law that controls when construction can take place.
Steve Czinege – 343 Killaly Street East	Comment 1 – Concerns with reducing parking requirements as many residents own more than one vehicle.	Parking has been proposed at a rate of 1 space per unit. The reduction in parking will assist in achieving additional residential units, contributing to the City's intensification target. Staff will work with the developer to explore opportunities for additional parking on site through the site plan control process.

Public Comments/Questions and Responses – 360 Killaly Street East

Note: Comments/Questions have been summarized in the chart below. The full comments can be found in the pages following the chart.

Steve Czinege – 343 Killaly Street East	Comment 2 – Concerns with the site not having space for snow storage and snowplows blocking in vehicles potentially parked on the street during the winter.	Snow removal is the responsibility of the property owner. Vehicles parked on the street during snow events are handled through the By-law Division.
Steve Czinege – 343 Killaly Street East	Comment 3 – Question regarding the infrastructure and whether it is suitable to support a 16-unit apartment building and if not, will taxes increase for residents to accommodate the upgrades?	The developer will be required to submit a Functional Servicing Report to confirm the services are adequate for the proposed development. Any upgrades required as a result of the development would be the responsibility of the developer.