Appendix B - 2024 Capital and Related Projects Detail

						Capital I	Funding				
			2024 Non-De	ebt Funding							
Item	Project Title	Levy	Rate	Recurring Grants	Total	1x Grants (Current Year)	Grants (Prior Year)	Reserves	Internally Financed	Other	Total
A.1	VHWC Energy Audit/Feasibility Study	35,000	-	-	35,000	65,000	-	-		-	100,000
A.2	City Hall Energy Audit/Feasibility Study	40,000	-	-	40,000	40,000	-	-		-	80,000
A.3	Net Zero Build Feasibility Study	50,000	-	-	50,000	50,000	-	-		-	100,000
A.4	VHWC Capital Improvements	25,000	-	-	25,000	-	-	-		-	25,000
A.5	Events Infrastructure	135,000	-	-	135,000	-	-	-		-	135,000
A.6	Fire Training Area	65,000	-	-	65,000	-	-	-		-	65,000
	Reserve Transfer	306,000	-	-	306,000	-	-	-		-	306,000
Total (Capital Requests - Facilities	656,000	-	-	656,000	155,000	-	-	-	-	811,000
B.1	Fleet Replacement	498,316	500,000	-	998,316	-	-	-		196,684	1,195,000
Total (Capital Requests - Fleet and Equipment	498,316	500,000	-	998,316	-	-	-	-	196,684	1,195,000
C.1	Digital Radio System	150,000	-	-	150,000	-	-	-		450,000	600,000
C.2	Enterprise Resouce Planning System	300,000	80,000	-	380,000	-	-	60,000		800,000	1,240,000
C.3	IT Evergreening	150,000	-	-	150,000	-	-	-		-	150,000
C.4	Video Surveilance	75,000	-	-	75,000	-	-	-		-	75,000
Total (Capital Requests - Information Technology	675,000	80,000	-	755,000	-	-	60,000	-	1,250,000	2,065,000
D.1	Industrial Land Readiness		-	-	-	-	-	-	300,000	-	300,000
Total 0	Capital Requests - Land Development	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,000	-	300,000
E.1	Tenessee Gates	300,000	-	-	300,000	-	-	-		-	300,000
E.2	Road Ends at Lake	100,000	-	-	100,000	-	-	-		-	100,000
E.3	Waterfront Pedestrian Walkway Lighting - Marina	40,000	-	-	40,000	-	-	-		-	40,000
Total (Capital Requests - Parks	440,000	-	-	440,000	-	-	-	-	-	440,000
F.1	Cemetery Maintainance Area	75,000	-	-	75,000	-	-	-		-	75,000
	Reserve Transfer	53,100	-	-	53,100	-	-	-		-	53,100
Total (Capital Requests - Cemetery	128,100	-	-	128,100	-	-	-	-	-	128,100
G.1	Develop and Optimize GIS	175,000	50,000	-	225,000	-	-	50,000		225,000	500,000
Total (Capital Requests - Planning	175,000	50,000	-	225,000	-	-	50,000	-	225,000	500,000
H.1	Annual Roads Resurfacing	161,770		1,186,195	1,347,965	-	195,715	-		-	1,543,680
H.2	Annual Sidewalk Program	120,000		480,000	600,000	-	-	-		-	600,000
H.3	OSIM Culvert and Structure Replacement	410,323		100,577	510,900	-	99,100	-		-	610,000
H.4	Guiderail Replacement	90,000		-	90,000	-	-	-		-	90,000
H.5	New Hydrant - Second Concession	45,000		-	45,000	-	-	-		-	45,000
Total (Capital Requests - Roads	827,093	-	1,766,772	2,593,865	-	294,815	-	-	-	2,888,680

						Capital	Funding				
			2024 Non-D	ebt Funding							
Item	Project Title	Levy	Rate	Recurring Grants	Total	1x Grants (Current Year)	Grants (Prior Year)	Reserves	Internally Financed	Other	Total
	Reserve Transfer		884,000	-	884,000	-	-	-		-	884,000
Total (Capital Requests - Storm Sewer	-	884,000	-	884,000	-	-	-	-	-	884,000
l.1	Cured in Pipe Place (CIPP) Wastewater Relining (5% for 30 years, 650K)		10,000,000	-	10,000,000	-	-	-		-	10,000,000
	Reserve Transfer		384,000		384,000	-	-	-		-	384,000
Total (Capital Requests - Wastewater	-	10,384,000	-	10,384,000	-	-	-	-	-	10,384,000
J.1	Watermain Replacement (Year 3 of 5)		266,700	-	266,700	-	-	-		-	266,700
	Reserve Transfer		693,300		693,300	-	-	-		-	693,300
Total (Capital Requests - Water	-	960,000	-	960,000	-	-	-	-	-	960,000
K.1	Existing Stairway Replacement	32,500		-	32,500	-	-	-		-	32,500
K.2	Exterior Double-door Replacement	8,000		-	8,000	-	-	-		-	8,000
K.3	Skylight Above Atrium Replacement	20,000		-	20,000	-	-	-		-	20,000
K.4	UV Coating for Staff Office Windows	5,000		-	5,000	-	-	-		-	5,000
K.5	Auditorium Floor Repairing	5,000		-	5,000	-	-	-		-	5,000
	Reserve Transfer	97,500		-	97,500	-	-	-		-	97,500
Total (Capital Requests - Library	168,000	-	-	168,000	-	-	-	-	-	168,000
L.1	Heritage Research Archives Capital Improvements	15,000		-	15,000	-	-	-		-	15,000
L.2	Heritage Resource Centre Capital Improvements	12,500		-	12,500	-	-	-		-	12,500
L.3	Museum Capital Improvements	55,000		-	55,000	-	-	-		-	55,000
L.4	Roselawn Capital Improvements	158,500		-	158,500	-	-	26,500		-	185,000
L.5	Lighthouse Capital Improvements	5,000		-	5,000	-	-	-		-	5,000
L.6	Museum Digital Communication	12,000		-	12,000	-	-	-		-	12,000
	Capital Requests - Roselawn & Museum	258,000	-	-	258,000	-	-	26,500	-	-	284,500
Total (Capital Requests	3,825,509	12,858,000	1,766,772	18,450,281	155,000	294,815	136,500	300,000	1,671,684	21,008,280
	Less: Net Debt Financed		- 9,350,000		- 9,350,000						- 9,350,000
Total (Capital Requests and Transfers to Reserve	3,825,509	3,508,000	1,766,772	9,100,281	155,000	294,815	136,500	300,000	1,671,684	11,658,280

		St	trateg	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nal	е
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	
Vale Health and Wellness Centre (VHWC) Energy Audit/Feasibility Study	VHWC	~	~			\checkmark				~	
,	Project Rationa	le									
GHG reduction measures that allo GHG reductions within 10 years ar of the GHG reduction pathway fea early, informed decisions on capita other organizational goals. The stu- measures and capital investment t strategic plan initiative to reach ne emissions and improved energy ef mprove infrastructure. The feasibi- percent compared to the current of east 80 percent compared to the of zero GHG emissions) within 20 ye The FCM GHG Reduction Pathwa	nd 80% GHG reductions sibility study is to supply al planning for our ass ady will allow the City iming to meet these g t-zero energy by 2040 ficiency, as well as the lity study must aim to r baseline performance current or baseline per ars.	ons w port ets i to ex joals) thro e Cit redu redu redu rforn	vithin the C n alig plore . This bugh ty's g uce G the b nance	the City's Inm e alt s als red ouild Gouild e of	nex s de ent erna so re uceo to s em ling the	tt 20 cisio with ative elates d gre ustai issio withi builo	yea n ma GH(GH(s to eenh nab nab n b n 10	rs. ∃ aker G re G re the ous ility y af) ye (i.e.	The rs in educ educ City e ga reno t lea ars, , ne	pur i ma tion tior 's as ew a st 5 as an ear i	pos akin and and d at net-
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a	apply to receive \$65,0	00 fr	total om tl	cost he f	t of t und	this p ing s	oroje	ect v			
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study	apply to receive \$65,0	00 fr	total om tl ing is	cost he f	t of t undi cure	this p ing s	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source	apply to receive \$65,0	00 fr	total om tl ing is	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the	00 fr	total rom th ing is Ope Levy	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy)	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000	00 fr	total rom th ing is Ope Levy Rate	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000	00 fr	total rom th ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000	00 fr	total rom th ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe	cost he f sec rati	t of t undi cure	this p ing s d.	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000	00 fr	total rom the ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	cost he f sec rrati / e er al	t of t und	this p ing s id. On-O	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	cost he f sec rati / e er al	t of t und cure ng (this p ing s d. On-C	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000	00 fr	total rom the ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	cost he f sec rati / e er al	t of t und cure ng (this p ing s d. On-C	broje trea	ect v m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 act and Cooperation	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi	cost he f sec rati / e er cal c W c W	t of t undi cure ng ('orks 'orks	this p ing s d. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	npa	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient?	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 nct and Cooperation able that: N/A	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2	cost he f sec rati / e er al c W c W c W	t of f undi cure ng (orks orks Tin	this p ing s id. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	vill b	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 nct and Cooperation	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2	c W c W c W c W	i of f undi cure ng (orks orks	this p ing s id. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	npa	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 Ict and Cooperation	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2 2	cost he f sec rati / e er al c W c W c W iect 2024 2025	i of f undi cure ng orks orks iorks	this p ing s id. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	npa	e	
\$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 nct and Cooperation	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2 2	c W c W c W c W	i of f undi cure ng orks orks iorks	this p ing s id. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	npa	e	
\$65,000 for a single building. Base \$100,000, therefore, the City can a The City will only pursue the study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater? Description of 'Greener' options	apply to receive \$65,0 in the event that the 35,000 65,000 100,000 nct and Cooperation able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A	00 fr	total rom ti ing is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2 2	cost he f sec rati / e er al c W c W c W iect 2024 2025	i of f undi cure ng orks orks iorks	this p ing s id. On-C	oroje trea	ect w m.	npa	e	

Capital Request A.2		St	trateg	gic I	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nal	е
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
City Hall Energy Audit/Feasibility Study	City Hall	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
ottay	Project Rationa	le									
The completion of an energy efficient sequence of GHG reduction measure output. The pupose of the GHG reduction making early, informed of GHG reduction and other organizat The study will allow the City to exp timing to meet these goals. This al- energy by 2040 through reduced g well as the City's goal to sustainab The feasibility study must aim for a municipal facility's energy consump maximum of 10% through on-site,	ures that allow City Ha duction pathway feasil decisions on capital p tional goals. lore alternative GHG r so relates to the City's reenhouse gas emissi ly renew and improve combination of energ ption by 30% (minimul	all to pility lanni reduc stra ions infra infra	achie study ing fo ction tegic and i struc	eve a y is i or ou mea plai mpr ture	a 30 to si ir as asur n ini ove rofit)% re uppo ssets es ar tiativ d end s tha	educ rt th in a nd c e to ergy t tog	tion e Ci lign apita rea rea	in (ity's mer al ir ch i cier er, i	GH(de nt w nves net- ncy, redu	G cisior rith stmer zero as uce a
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the fund	ojects ding s	s, the strea	e tota m.	al co	ost of			-		
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the fund	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is	e tota m. sec	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the fund	ojects ding s	s, the strea	e tota m. sec	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the fund	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is	e tota m. sec rati	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func r in the event that the f	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope	e tota m. sec rati	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func r in the event that the f	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy	e tota m. sec rati /	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy)	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func r in the event that the f 40,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy)	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func r in the event that the f 40,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func r in the event that the f 40,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 80,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 80,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	g. Based on similar pro recieve \$ from the func in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 80,000	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe	e tota m. sec rati / e er	al co ureo	ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- r in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 80,000 ct and Cooperation	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rati / e er al	urec ng (ost of d.	f this	s pro	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avail	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- r in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 80,000 ct and Cooperation	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rati / e er al	urec ng (ost of d. On-G	f this	g In	ojec	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avail Are more energy efficient?	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- r in the event that the f 40,000 40,000 ct and Cooperation	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rati / er al	urec ng (ost of d. On-G	f this	g In	npa	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avail Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- do and \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 \$ 80,000 \$ ct and Cooperation \$ able that: N/A	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rrati / eer al	urec ng (ost of d. On-G	f this	g In	npa	t wi	ll be
Reserves Other	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- du (0,000 40,000 80,000 ct and Cooperation	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Dee Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rati / e er al	al co ureo ng (ost of d. On-G	f this	g In	npa	t wi	ll be
up to \$175,000 for a single building \$; therefore, the City can apply to r The City will only pursue this study Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avail Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	g. Based on similar pro- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- recieve \$ from the func- 40,000 40,000 80,000 ct and Cooperation able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ojects ding s	s, the strea ng is Dee Levy Rate Othe Tot	e tota m. sec rati / e er al ect 2024 2025 2026	al co ureo ng (ost of d. On-G	f this	g In	npa	t wi	ll be

		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale)
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Net Zero Build Feasibility Study	Sherkston Community Centre Project Rationa		~	✓		\checkmark		✓	✓	~	
The completion of a net-zero new evaluated during the building cond While the visual assessment provi Facility Condition Index score indi- indicated that a designated substa- items are recommended in the she with a new net-zero designed facil	build feasibility study. dition assessment of the ided an evaluation of the cates that the asset is ance survey (DSS) and ort term. The recommend	The le fao he fa in 'po l acc	cility icility oor' (essil	con bei cono bility	duct ng ii ditioi y prc	ted ir n 'fai n. In visio	n Jai r' co the ns (nua ndit repo bari	ry o ion, ort il rier-	f 202 the is free	22.)
The study will allow the City to exp initiative as well as its potential en to the City's strategic plan initiative gas emissions and improved ener improve infrastructure. This would accessibility and use of the facility Friendship Trail, and demonstrate infrastructure.	vironmental, economic e to reach net-zero ene gy efficiency, as well a d provide a sustainable y. The facility would be	c, an ergy is the e soli able	d so by 2 e Cit ution to s	cial 040 y's g whi erve	impa thrc joal le a e as	acts. ough to su lso p a sto	This redu istai rovi op al	s ini uceo nab ding long	tiati d gro ly re j be i the	ve re eent enev tter	elates nouse v anc
There is an opportunity, through the costs to a maximum of \$175,000 fenergy performance. That means renewable or recovered power solo Based on similar projects, the total	for a feasibility study. A any energy it requires urces.	A fea shoi	sibili [.] uld b	ty st e ge	udy ener	that ated	aim thrc	s fo ough	r ne 1 on	t-ze -site	ro
solutions; therefore, the City can a								•		a1	
The City will only pursue this study						•					
		Iunu	ing is	5 30	Suie	u.					
Project Funding Source			Ope	erati	na	On-G	Goin	a In	npa	ct (-	-/-)
Levy	50,000		Lev					5			
<i>2</i>	,		Rate								
Rate			Oth								
	50,000		Our								
Grant (Green Energy)	50,000										-
Rate Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other	50,000										-
Grant (Green Energy) Reserves	50,000										-
Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other Total	100,000										-
Grant (Green Energy) Reserves Other	100,000			tal	orks	3					•

Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:	Project	Timelines	
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024	1 ✓	
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025	5	
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	6	
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	7	
Description of 'Greener' optio	ns:			

Location VHWC Project Rationa ter in Port Colborne i ss within the commun ervices aimed at impro- is recommended that	s a n nity. ⁻	2	3 √	4	5	1	2	3	4	
Project Rationa ter in Port Colborne i ss within the commun prvices aimed at impro- is recommended that	le s a n nity. ⁻		✓						-	5
ter in Port Colborne i ss within the commun ervices aimed at impro is recommended that	s a n nity. ⁻							\checkmark	\checkmark	
ss within the commur prvices aimed at impro is recommended that	nity. ⁻									
	Jung	This	cent	er s	erve	s as	s a h	nub	for	nts.
usable water bottles,										ing.
		Оре	rati	ng (Dn-G	ioin	g In	npa	ct (+	-/-)
25,000		Levy								
		Rate)							
		Othe	er							
		Tot	al							-
25,000										
ct and Cooperation										
	F	Publi	c W	orks	;					
		Rec	reat	ion						
able that:		Proi	ect	Tim	elin	es				
								\checkmark		
N/A										
	able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	25,000 et and Cooperation Publi Rec able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 2 N/A 2 N/A 2	et and Cooperation Public Warecreat Able that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 2025 N/A 2026 N/A 2027	Total25,000et and CooperationPublic Works RecreationRecreationAble that:N/AN/A2024N/AN/A2025N/A2026N/A2027	Total25,000et and CooperationPublic Works RecreationRecreationAble that:N/A2024N/A2025N/A2026N/A2027	Total25,000TotalPublic Works RecreationPublic Works RecreationAble that:N/A2024N/A2025N/A2026N/A2027	TotalTotal25,000Public Works RecreationPublic Works RecreationAble that:N/A2024N/A2025N/A2026N/A2027	Total Total 25,000 Public Works Recreation Recreation Able that: Project Timelines N/A 2024 ✓ N/A 2025 ✓ N/A 2026 ✓ N/A 2027 ✓	Total Total 25,000 Public Works Recreation Public Works Recreation Able that: N/A 2024 N/A 2025 N/A 2026 N/A 2027

Capital Request A.5		St	rate	aic	Pill	ars		R	atio	nale	<u> </u>
ltem	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Events Infrastructure		_	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Project Rationa						_				
Coming out of Canal Days, staff h particular, the following infrastruct							ntras	struc	ture	. In	
 water and electricity for West Str additional seating (including picn certain recreational/activity infrast fencing; and a potential stage to support bance Note: this amount is just a placehood	ic tables); structure; ls. older and that a final de							dditi	ons	will	
come out of the Canal Days debrie Project Funding Source	eting session.		Оре	erati	ing	On-(Goir	ng Ir	npa	ct (+	+/-)
Levy	135,000		Lev	y							
Rate			Rate	е							
Grant			Oth	er							
Reserves			To	tal							
Other											
Total	135,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved											
Departments Impacted											
Are there 'Greener' options avai	lable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	2024	1				\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A		2	2025	5						
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		2	2026	3						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options											
beschption of Greener options											

Capital Request A.6		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale)
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Fire Training Area	Fire Hall	_	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Project Rationa									. –	
Training standards in the Provinc Protection Association Standards existing firefighters is a due dilige seen the need for repeated recru of staff. This is an industry issue, staff flexibilty for on-site training v	are mandated. The ne nce requirement of the itment each year to rep not just a Port Colborn	ed to dep lace e iss	o cor artm unpi ue.	ntinu ent. rece The	iely The der traii	refre e fire ited i ning	esh t dep ssue	he s bartr es w	skills nen /ith i	s of t t has reter	he s also ntion
Project Funding Source					ng	On-(Goir	ng Ir	npa	ct (+	⊦/-)
Levy	65,000		Lev								
Rate			Rate								
Grant			Oth								
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other											
Total	65,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved	Fire, Pu	blic \	Nork	s. ⊢	leal	th ar	d Sa	afet	V		
Departments Impacted	,			Fire					,		
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ailable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	ies				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	2024	ŀ				\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A		2	2025	5						
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		2	2026	3						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' option	s:										

Capital Request B.1											
		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		Ra	atio	nale	•
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Fleet Replacement	Public Works		\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Project Rationa	le									
In accordance with the City's Fleet are aging and due for replacement completed.										•	
#22-10 Single Axle Dump Truck A 2010 International dump truck ha shop regularly and needs replacen						is ve	hicl	e ha	s be	een	in the
#589-12 Ice Resurfacer The 2012 Zamboni has reached th City staff are recommending the re with installation of charging docks	placement ice resurfa	•	•			•					
#279-10 Zero Turn Mower The 2010 zero turn mower is at the have been consistently high. Staff utilized in place of this diesel mowe	will be reviewing the p	oter	tial f	or a	•			•			S
#823-12 Single Axle Dump Truck A 2012 International dump truck ar nearing the end of its useful life an	• •										
#657-07 Plow Attachment The 2007 V-Plow has been moved together multiple times to extend it				•					en w	velde	ed
Drojaat Euroding Source			0.000	rot	00	0 - 0	` oir			ot (1	
Project Funding Source	409.246				ng	On-G		ig in	npa	Ct (1	-/-)
Levy Rate	498,316		Levy	·							
Grant	500,000		Othe								
Reserves			Tot								
	106 694		101	.ai							-
Other (Future Capital Budgets)	196,684										
Total	1,195,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		F	Publi	сW	orks	6					
Departments Impacted		F	Publi	сW	orks	5					
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:		Proi	iect	Tin	nelin	65				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024		Tenni			\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A N/A			2025							
	IN/A		2	020	,						

Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	
-			
Description of 'Greener' options	:		

Capital Request C.1		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale)
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Digital Radio System	Fire Hall	-		\checkmark				-	\checkmark	-	
	Project Rationa	le									
The existing analog radio system portable radios and pagers. Many Furthermore, our dispatch provide implemented by the CRTC. The n take action before more funds are	of these pieces are no er is upgrading to meet nigration to digital is inc	o lon Nex evital	ger s t Ge ble a	supp n 91 ind s	orte 1 (I staff	ed by NG9 beli	′ Mo 11) i eve	toro regu	la. Ilatio	ons	
Project Funding Source					ng	On-(Goir	ng li	npa	ict (·	+/-)
Levy	150,000		Lev								
Rate			Rate								
Grant			Oth								
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other (Future Capital Budgets)	450,000										
Total	600,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved			Fi	ire, l	т						
Departments Impacted				ire, l							
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ilable that:		Pro	je <u>c</u> t	Tin	nelin	es_				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A		2	2025	5						
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		2	2026	3						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options	S:										

Capital Request C.2		Strategic Pillar									
		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Enterprise Resource Planning System	City Wide		\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
, ,	Project Rationa	le									
spreadsheet based processes to m system that will not be supported in purchasing, encumberance-based procurement opportunity with the C (ERP system), in an effort to achieve a shared service approach. A true is budget for the City (which would de efficiencies), would become the cell accounting related data. The system technologies, such as artificial intel	n the coming years an accounting, or capital City of Niagara Falls for ve something that mo ERP system, while it ecrease over time with ntral repository of infor m would have capabil lligence and machine	id ha acco st sn would the ormat lities learr	s no ounti rue E nall t d acc City tion a to na ning,	t be ing. Ente owr cour s pr and atur anc	en e The rpris ns co nt fo rojec sing ally d wo	enhai e City se Re ould i or 0.5 cted gle-sc lever ould a	nceo has esou not o 5% grov purc rage	d to s ex urce do a of th vth a e of lea ens	sup plore Pla lone to and trut ding ure	port ed a nnin e wit otal h for the	i joint ig hout r all
can adquately mitigate cyber risks Project Funding Source	associated with the c	ritica				owith			-		F/-)
Levy	300,000		Levy								,000
Rate	80,000		Rate	·							5,000
Grant			Othe	er							
Reserves (Building)	60,000		Tot	al						225	5,000
Other (Future Capital Budgets)	800,000										
Total	1,240,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		Cor	pora	te S	Serv	ices					
Departments Impacted		Al	ll Dej	part	mer	nts					
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	2024	1				\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025					\checkmark		
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026					\checkmark		
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options:	:										

Capital Request C.3											
		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	•
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IT Evergreening	City Wide		\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark	
	Project Rationa	le									
The City of Port Colborne has inve delivery of municipal services. The replacement of key hardware that workstations (laptops, tablets and infrastructure. The key hardware tl exceeded their expected end-of-lif delivery of municipal services can constituents.	e IT Evergreening capi supports these activiti accessories) and teleo nat supports these act e and require replacer	tal re es, w comn ivitie nent	eque /hich nuni s are to e	st re prin catio e rea nsun	epre mar ons achi re th	sents ily in and ng oi nat ef	s the clud com r ha fficie	e life les s npute ve a ent a	ecyc staff er sy Ilrea and o	le /ster dy	n
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ng	On-(Goir	ng Ir	npa	ct (+	+/-)
Levy	150,000		Lev	у							
Rate			Rate	Э							
Grant			Oth	er							
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other											
Total	150,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved				IT							
Departments Impacted			Va	ariou	JS						
Are there 'Greener' options avai	lable that:		Pro	iect	Tin	nelin	98				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		_	2024			00		\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025							
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			2027							
Description of 'Greener' options											
Description of Oreener options	•										

Capital Request C.4			
		Strategic Pillar	rs Rationale
Item	Location	1 2 3 4	5 1 2 3 4 5
Video Surveilance Program	City Wide	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Project Rationa	le	
Video surveillance has been pres	ent at many municipal	facilities for a num	ber of years. The intent
of this capital request is to formula			
policies (as it pertains to subject le	j ,		
surveillance systems across the C	•	•	•
determined. Currently video surve		•	
are opportunities to partner with c	•	•	
implementing video surveillance i	n other areas of opport	unity throughout the	he City.
This hudget request of $^{\circ}$ 75,000 w	ill increase the evicting	Video Surveilene	o Drogrom project
This budget request of \$75,000 w budget. These additional funds ar		•	0, 1, 2
has been signed and test camera	••••••	•	
will be held for Council to see the			
Project Funding Source		Operating O	on-Going Impact (+/-)
Levy	75,000	Levy	
Rate		Rate	
Grant		Other	
Reserves		Total	
Other			
Total	75,000		
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Cooperation		
Departments Involved		IT Various	
Departments Impacted		various	
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ilable that:	Project Time	elines
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024	\checkmark
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025	
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	
Description of 'Greener' option	s:		

Capital Request D.1		Strategic Pillars						F	Rati	ona	ale	
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3		4 4	5
	Various			√		 √		∠ √	_		• •	
	Project Rational	le										
The City has three parcels of indus Progress Drive (formerly Ramey Re Drive is not. Over the past two year consultants to have various studies including surveys, site clearing, pla services. Stonebridge and Invertos positive interest and momentum fro and the phase one servicing project focus on a phase two environmenta study, site excavation and prep on both sections of Progress Drive.	oad). Stonebridge and rs, staff have been wo and background wor nning studies, enviror e will be ready to be r om the private sector i at which has received al site assessment, ge Progress Drive, and t	d Inv orking k col nmer nark n the supp otec he d	ertos g wit mple ntal a eted e Cit port f chnic esig	se a h o etec ass so y's ron cal i n d	are the l for ess me Eas n C repo raw	serv r div men time st Si ounc ort, e ings	riced ision three its, a in Q de E cil, st cil, st requ	whil s as pro nd r 4 20 mplo aff v onm uireo	le F s we pper per 23 oym vou ent to	Prog ell a ties cati . Gi nen Id li al ii coi	gress is ng sit ven t t Lan ike to mpac nnect	te he ds, t
land is sold as industrial.			0.00			0.0	Coi		100 10			
Project Funding Source					ung		-601	ng i	Ш	act	: (+/-)	
Levy Rate			Lev									
Grant			Oth									
Reserves			То									
Other (Internally Financed)	300,000		10	Lai								
Total	300,000											
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperati <u>on</u>											
Departments Involved		cond	omic	De	vel	opm	ent					
Departments Impacted	E	cond	omic	De	vel	opm	ent					
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:		Pro	jec	t Ti	mel	ines					
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	202	4				\checkmark			
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			202								
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			202								
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	202	7							
Description of 'Greener' options:												

Capital Request E.1		St	trate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	ionale		
ltem	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Tenessee Gates	Sugarloaf Street and Tennessee Avenue		~				~			~		
	Project Rationa	le										
City staff retained a qualified co gate, and wall structure at the e structure was built in 1898 and a	ntrance to Tennessee Av	/enue	e, off	of S	Suga	arloa	f Str	eet.			rs,	
The inspection included site visi significance. Based on the revie safety risk to the public, there an unstable foundation.	w conducted, although th	ne st	ructu	res	do r	not p	rese	ent a	ın in	nme		
The recommended works incluc and repoint of the east retaining match the west retaining wall ar and west pillars is also recomm	y wall and column includin nd column. In addition, ar	ng th nchor	e cor ring a	nstru and	uctic mine	on of or re	a ne poin	ew fo ting	oun of t	dati	on to	
and guidelines for the maintena	nce of heritage assets.	-		-				curr	ent	stai	ndaro	
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru	nce of heritage assets.	-	d her	itag	e pe		S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source	nce of heritage assets.	-	d her	itag	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy	nce of heritage assets. action, inspection, and rec	-	d her	itag erati /	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate	nce of heritage assets. action, inspection, and rec	-	d her Ope Levy	ritag rati	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant	nce of heritage assets. action, inspection, and rec	-	d her Ope Levy Rate	itag erati / er	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves	nce of heritage assets. action, inspection, and rec	-	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe	itag erati / er	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves	nce of heritage assets. action, inspection, and rec	-	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe	itag erati / er	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total	nce of heritage assets. Iction, inspection, and rec 300,000 <u>300,000</u>	-	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe	itag erati / er	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im	nce of heritage assets. Iction, inspection, and rec 300,000 <u>300,000</u>	quire	d her Levy Rate Othe Tot	iitag rati / e er al	e pe	ermit	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved	nce of heritage assets. Iction, inspection, and rec 300,000 <u>300,000</u>	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe	rati / e al	e pe ng (on-C	S.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted	nce of heritage assets. Iction, inspection, and rec 300,000 300,000 npact and Cooperation	quire	d her Levy Rate Othe Tot	iitag rati / e er al c W c W	e pe ng (orks orks	ermit	s.					
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av	nce of heritage assets. Inction, inspection, and rec 300,000 apact and Cooperation vailable that:	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	rati / e er al c W c W	e pe ng (orks orks	on-C	s.	g In				
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient?	nce of heritage assets. Inction, inspection, and real 300,000 apact and Cooperation vailable that: N/A	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2	iitag rati / e er al c W c W c W	e pe ng (orks orks Tim	ermit	s.	g In	npa			
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	nce of heritage assets. Inction, inspection, and rec 300,000 apact and Cooperation vailable that: N/A N/A	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Proj 2 2	itag rati / e er al c W c w c w	e pe ng (orks orks	ermit	s.	g In	npa			
Proceeding with the recommend and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater?	nce of heritage assets. Inction, inspection, and real 300,000 apact and Cooperation vailable that: N/A	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2 2	iitag rati / e er al c W c W c W	e pe ng (orks orks Tim	ermit	s.	g In	npa			
and guidelines for the maintena Estimated costs include constru Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	nce of heritage assets. Inction, inspection, and rec 300,000 Apact and Cooperation Vailable that: N/A N/A N/A	quire	d her Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot Publi Publi Publi 2 2 2	rati / e er al c W c W c W ect 2026 2026	e pe ng (orks orks Tim	ermit	s.	g In	npa			

Capital Request E.2		St	rate	gi <u>c</u>	Pilla	ars_		at <u>io</u>	onale		
ltem	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Road Ends at Lake	Road Ends	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark				
	Project Rationa					_			_	_	
The City of Port Colborne, in cor north/south roads that terminate consultation and presented to Co and the Ministry of the Environm and will be presenting them thro placeholder to ensure staff are a	at the Lake Erie shoreli ouncil. Staff are now rev lent, Conservation and I ugh a separate report to	ine. 1 /iewii Parks o Cou	This ang of ng of s req uncil.	stud otioi uire Th	ly wa ns b mer e bu	as cir ased nts wi idget	cula on ithin req	ated pub eac	for lic fe ch lo	publ eedb ocatio	ack
Project Funding Source Levy	100,000		Lev	у	ing	On-O	Goin	ıg In	npa	ct (+	+/-)
Rate			Rat								
Grant			Oth								
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other											
Total	100,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperation										
Departments Involved											
Departments Impacted											
Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:		Pro	iect	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			202							
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		_	2020	-						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			202							
		-									
Description of 'Greener' option	ns:										

Capital Request E.3											
		St	rate	gic∣	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Waterfront Pedestrian Walkway Lighting	Marina		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
0 0	Project Rationa	le									
14 lights along the waterfront trail h be replaced. The aim of this project safe recreational opportunities and	t is to promote the us	e of t	the tr	ail a	as w	ell a	s im	pro	/es	acce	ess to
Project Funding Source Levy	40,000		Levy	/	ng	On-G	Goin	ıg In	npa	ct (-	-/-)
Rate			Rate	e							
Grant (Canada Community Building Fund)			Othe	ər							
Reserves			Tot	al							-
Other											
Total	40,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		Publ	ic W	orks	: M	arina	1				
Departments Impacted					•	arina					
	1				,		•				
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:		Pro	iect	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A		2	2025	5						
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		2	2026	6						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options											

Capital Request F.1		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Cemetery Maintenance Area	Overholt Cemetery			√	4	5		2		4 √		
	Project Rationa	ام		·								
Overholt Cemetery is lacking pro up and secure the site by buildin safely secured and housed for e service the Cemetery which are for operations would be similar to	g a small storage struct asy access. Additionally required to be trucked ir	ure v , soi n anc	vher ls an l sto	e ce d to red	met p di ons	tery s ressi ite. T	spec ng a 'he i	cific are r requ	tool: equ iired	s ca ired	n be to	
Project Funding Source Levy	75,000		Ope Lev		ng	On-(Goir	ng Ir	npa	ct (-	⊦/-)	
Rate	10,000		Rat	,								
Grant			Oth									
Reserves			Το								-	
Other												
Total	75,000											
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperation											
Departments Involved		ł	Publ	ic W	ork:	s						
Departments Impacted		I	Publ	ic W	ork:	S						
Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:		Pro	iect	Tin	nelin	es					
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark			
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025								
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026								
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			2027								
Description of 'Greener' option												
Description of Greener option	15.											

Capital Request G.1		Strategic Pillars									
		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	•
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Develop and Optimize GIS	City Wide		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Project Rationa	le									
The GIS system currently employ effectiveness and reliability. Thes		•				•				r its	
incomplete and inaccurate data, s	staffing limitations, abse	ence	of w	ell-	defir	ned v	vork	flow	/s ar	nd	
standard operating procedures, a	•						<u> </u>			halle	enge
through comprehensive moderniz	•					-					
capabilities. By enhancing data q						-	-				
the City can unlock the true poter	•		-								king
and enhanced service delivery to				•							
Colborne will revolutionize service	•		•						•		<u> </u>
residents can effortlessly access and community resources. By pro											
engagement, the modern GIS sys	U									-	,
and foster a stronger, more conne	-	Jente	, iac	inta		mole			per		13,
and loster a stronger, more conne	colou manopanty.										
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ing	On-G	Goir	ig Ir	npa	ct (+	⊦/-)
Levy	175,000		Lev	,							
Rate	50,000		Rate								
Grant			Oth								
Reserves (Building)	50,000		To	al							-
Other (Future Capital Budgets)	225,000										
Total	500,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Coonstation										
Departments Involved		Cor	pora	ite S	Serv	ices					
Departments Impacted			l De								
F											
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ilable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025					\checkmark		
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' option	e'										
beschption of Greener option	5.										

Capital Request H.1		Strategic Pilla			rs		Ra	tio	nale		
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Annual Roads Resurfacing	City Wide		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Project Ratio										
Annually, the City allocates fund resurfacing, maintenance, and r plays a role in financially suppor resurfacing works.	ehabilitation of the ro ting other capital infra	ad infra astructu	struc re pr	ture ojec	. Ado ts th	ditio at re	nally equii	/, thi re ro	s bເ ad	udge	t
The recently completed Infrastru road segments within the City. F standardized measure to assess These ratings provide valuable i maintenance and rehabilitation p 100 where 100 would be a newl deterioration and typically receiv need for more costly reconstruct	Pavement Condition In s and quantify the over nformation and is the projects. The PCI ration y paved road. Roads we higher priority for re	ndex (P erall cor basis u ng is me with lov	CI) ra iditio ised easu ver ra	ating n of by C red o ating	gs are a roa City s on a gs ine	e us ad o taff scal dicat	ed a r pa to p e be te gi	as a vem riorit etwe reate	ient ize en (er	surfa road 0 and	ace. d
Results from the recent study in Ratings and recommended strat 85 - preventative maintenance), to 39 - reconstruction). Impleme that the lifecycle costs of road pa	tegies range from exc fair (58 to 74 - resurf nting preventative ma	ellent (& ace), po aintenar	36 to por (4 nce s	100 40 to trate) - dc 57 - egies	o not - reh s is c	hing abil ruci	g), go itatic	ood on),	(75 faile	to d (C
Upon staff's review, the following the next one to three years. Prio needs and will be finalized pend currently in progress.	pritization of these seg	gments	will c	oinc	ide v	with	othe	er re	surf	acin	g
- Brookfield Road (PCI Rating 6	8) – Highway #3 to Tl	nird Cor	ncess	sion	Rd (estir	nate	ed le	ngt	h of 4	4km
 Berkley Avenue (PCI Rating 7 Limit (estimated length 500m) 	3 - Required for Wate	ermain I	Repla	acer	nent)) – C	hip	pawa	a Ro	d to l	Eas
- Homewood Avenue (PCI Ratin Clarence St (estimated length 6	•	/aterma	in R	epla	cem	ent)	– S	ugar	loat	f St t	0
- Yager Road (PCI Rating 54) –	Forks Rd to North lim	nit (estin	nateo	d ler	ngth '	1km)				
- Stonebridge Drive (PCI Rating	60) – Highway #58 to	o Elm S	t (es	tima	ted l	engt	h 80	00m))		
- Steele Street (PCI Rating 60) -	- Main St W to Northl	and Ave	enue	(est	timat	ed le	engt	:h 80	0m)	

- Welland Street (PCI Rating 55 to 75 - To be completed with Welland Street Trail Project) -Clarence Street to Lake Rd (estimated length 640m)

		Operating
Levy	161,770	Levy
Rate		Rate
Grant (Aggregate Fund, Ontario Community Infrastructure Fund, and Canada Community Building Fund)	1,381,910	Other
Reserves		Total
Other		
Total	1,543,680	
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation	
Departments Involved		Public Work
Departments Impacted		Public Work
		Project Tir
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024
		2024 2025
	N/A	2024

Capital Request H.2		Strategic Pilla	ars	Rationale
				Rationale
Item	Location	1 2 3 4	5	1 2 3 4 5
Annual Sidewalk Program	City Wide	\checkmark \checkmark		\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark
	Project Rationa	le		
City staff complete an annual sidew repair or replacement needs. Upon Annual Sidewalk Inspection progra require maintenance and or replac current standards will also be cons	review of the City's I m results, City staff w ement. Further consid	nfrastructure Nee vill formalize a ful	eds St I list o	udy and 2023 f locations that
New sidewalk will include approxin Wellington Street. The new sidewa Health and Wellness Centre. This I watermain and sewer replacement	lk will provide connec budget will also be uti	tivity between Lo lized for capital p	ockviev project	w Park and the Vale s such as
Project Funding Source		Operating	On-Go	oing Impact (+/-)
Levy	120,000	Levy		
Rate	,	Rate		
Grant (Canada Community Building Fund)	480,000	Other		
Reserves		Total		-
Other				
Total	600,000			
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation			
Departments Involved		Public Works	S	
Departments Impacted		Public Works	S	
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:	Project Tin	neline	S
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024		\checkmark
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025		
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026		
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027		
Description of 'Greener' options				

Capital Request H.3		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale)
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
OSIM Culvert and Structure Replacement	Weaver Road & Brookfield Road		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	
As per the Ontario Structure Insp evaluations on bridges and culve carried out in 2022, the reports in rehabilitation work for both short The short term included recomm	rts that meet specific sindicated a number of re term and long term.	the (ize cr comr	riteria meno	a. Di datio	uring ons t	g the for re	e late eplae	est ii cem	nspe ent	ectio and	'n
and rehabilitation work for approx dollars not including design, insp	kimately 12 other struct	ures	for a	n ap	opro	xima	ate c	ost	of 1	.2 m	illio
Staff have prepared the following OSIM report:	5 year plan to address	defi	cienc	cies	res	ulting	g fro	m th	ne la	itest	
Year 1: 2023 Design - Replacement of Micher Construction - Rehabilitation and Drain structures (\$105k already a	repair work for Eagle N			•			•			'	II
Year 2: 2024 Design - Rehabilitation and rep and lot 24, Black Creek Drain L Construction - Replacement of	ot 4 and Biederman D	Drain	lot :		Ind	ian (Cree	ek D	rair	ı lot	22
Estimated total cost of Year 2 (design and construct	ion)	is \$6	610k	۲.						
Year 3: 2025 Design - Rehabilitation and repai structures (estimated cost 50k)	r work for Beaverdam [Drain	lot 1	8/19	9 an	id Ea	igle	Mar	ch I	Drair	ı
Construction - Rehabilitation and and lot 24, Black Creek Drain Lot										lot :	22
Year 4: 2026 Design - Rehabilitation and repai Construction - Rehabilitation and Drain structures (estimated cost s	repair work for Beaver					•					,
Year 5: 2027 Design - To be confirmed upon c Construction - Rehabilitation and 30k)	•		•			•	•				

- - - -,

The replacement and rehabilitation of culverts and structures has multiple benefits, including improved water flow, enhanced drainage, and increased infrastructure resilience ensuring functionality, safety, and sustainability of the City's transportation and drainage systems.

Project Funding Source		Operating	On-Going Impac
Levy	410,323	Levy	
Rate		Rate	
Grant (Canada Community Building Fund)	199,677	Other	
Reserves		Total	
Other			
Total	610,000		
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperation		
Departments Involved		Public Works	-
Departments Impacted		Public Works	S
Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:	Project Tin	nelines
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024	\checkmark
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025	\checkmark
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	\checkmark
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	\checkmark

Capital Request H.4		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars	Rationale					
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Guiderail Replacement	City Wide		\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark			
	Project Ration	ale										
Guiderails are safety barriers of wood, or concrete, and are stra within the roadway, preventing ditches, embankments, or stee	ategically positioned to e them from veering off th	nhano	e ro	ad s	safe	ty an	d cc	ontai	n ve	ehicl	es	
The City of Port Colborne own meters of varying age and con inspection of guide rails in the rating on a scale from 0 to 5. T as applicable, along with a writ of the asset. A score of 0 indic condition, 3 fair condition, 4 po required.	dition. The recent Infrast spring of 2022. An exam he defects were scored ten observation of the d ates no defects, 1 is con	ructur inatio by cor eficier sidere	e Ne n of mpor icy w ed to	eeds eac nent /hicl be	s Stu h gu t suc h de in e>	idy ir ide r ch as term ccelle	ncluo ail w pos inec ent c	ded vas a st, ca I an cond	the assi able ove litior	visu gne , or rall 1, 2	al d a rail, score	
The study recommended that g of immediate remediation, whil years. Based on the overall sc the next several years was det	e those with a score of 3 ore and length of affecte ermined.	are a d guic	issur le rai	ned il, ai	l to r n es	equi timat	re w te of	ork rep	with air o	in 5 cost	s ove	
The cost of immediate recommy years) is \$90,000 (estimated le is \$75,700 (estimated length of found to be in good condition a	ength of 325m). The cost f 291m). The remaining	of lor 3,920	ng-te mete	rm r ers o	reco of gu	mme uide i	enda rail a	ition asse	s (5 ts w	+ ye	ears)	
City staff are recommending th short-term replacement needs rehabilitation on 24 segments.	that amount to an estim										nd	
Project Funding Source			Οре	erati	ina	On-0	Goin	a In	npa	ct (-	F/-)	
Levy	90,000		Lev					5				
Rate	,		Rate	,								
Grant			Oth									
Reserves			Tot	al							-	
Other												
Total	90,000											
Other Project Resourcing / I	mpact and Cooperation	1										
Departments Involved			Publi	c W	/orks	S						
Departments Impacted			Publi									
Are there 'Greener' options a	ivailable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es					

Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024	\checkmark
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025	
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	
Description of 'Greener' option	s:		

Capital Request H.5		St	rate	aic	Pill	are		R	atio	onale				
		5	late	gic	F 111	ars			auo	Tiale	7			
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5			
New Hydrant	Second Concession		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				
	Project Rationa													
The rural area of Port Colborne h must come back into the City to t hydrant on the Second Concessi Previously, no hydrants were ins contract is no longer in place. Dis hydrant and there will be no impa	fill and then back out to on water main would cu talled on the watermain scussions with Pinty's h	the r it tra due	ural vel ti to th	area ime ne c	a. T dov ontr	he a /n wl act v	dditi nen vith	on c time Pint	ofaı eiso y's.	new critica The	fire al.			
Project Funding Source Levy	45,000		Ope Lev		ing	On-(Goii	ng Ir	npa	ct (+	+/ -)			
Rate			Rat	е										
Grant			Oth	er										
Reserves			To	tal										
Other														
Total	45,000													
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	pact and Cooperation													
Departments Involved			Publ	ic W	/ork	s								
Departments Impacted				Fire	9									
Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:		Dro	ioct	Tir	nelir								
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024		lieilli	es		\checkmark					
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			202					•					
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026										
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			2027										
Description of 'Greener' option	15:													

Capital Request I.1		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Cured in Pipe Place (CIPP) Wastewater Relining	City Wide		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Through the City's Infrastructure N staff along with engineering consu lining to reduce inflow and infiltrati	Itants, have identified	tion prior							-		-
Sewer lining or cured-in-place pipe technology methods used to repai need for extensive excavation. It is sewer pipe and manhole replacem effectively seal cracks, joints, and points for ground water inflow and	r and rehabilitate exist s a cost-effective and l nent methods. Sewer l other defects within se	ing s ess ining ewer	sewe disru ⊨and pipe	r pip ptiv ma s ar	oes a e ali nho	and i terna le rel	man itive habi	hole to t litat	es w radi ion	ritho tiona prog	al ram
Reducing inflow and infiltration has treatment costs, reduced risk of ov increased capacity within the syste Further, lining and rehabilitation pr traditional pipe replacement which quicker restoration of normal sewe	verflows to the environ em allowing greater op ograms often have sh means faster resoluti	men oport orter on o	t dur unitie ⁻ com	ing es fo nple	hea or fu tion	vy ra ture time	infa devo s in	ll ev elop con	ents mei npar	s, an nt. rison	to
The sewer lining and manhole reh the environmental impact associat carbon footprint by using less ener reduced fuel consumption and gre infrastructure.	ed with sewer rehabili rgy and waste when c	tatio ompa	n. Th ared	ie pi to ti	rogr radit	am a iona	ilso I me	redu tho	uces d, re	s the sulti	ing i
Staff are recommending a phased to take place over the next four ye monitoring strategies to provide ef program. An annual detailed flow r the pollution prevention control pro manholes that require lining and re	ars starting in 2024. T fective and efficient us monitoring plan of spe ogram and a recomme	his ti se of cific	imelii the l sewe	ne v inin er sł	vill s g ar neds	uppo nd ma s reco	ort a anho omn	nnu ole r neno	al fl eha ded	ow bilita thro	atior
Upon approval of this request staft Management, Combined Sewer O program costs. In 2023 the funding similar scope with total program fu proposed in 2024 the City of Port o would account for 75% of the who budget requested be approved so received, or be limited.	verflow Control Progra g model was 30% Reg inding of \$4,000,000. I Colborne may not rece le Regional program. S	am fo liona If the eive f Staff	or fur I and re is the fu reco	nding I 70 a si ull \$ mm	g to % N imila 3,00 end	offse Iunic ar fur 00,00 that	et th ipal nding 0 (3 the	e \$1 for g mo 0%] full	10,0 proj odel) fur \$10	00,0 ects nding ,000	of g as),00

Project Funding Source		Operating On	-Going Impact (+/-)
Levy		Levy	
Rate (5% for 30 years; \$650,000/year)	10,000,000	Rate	
Grant		Other	
Reserves		Total	-
Other			
Total	10,000,000		
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperation	Public Works	
Departments Involved		Public Works	
Departments Impacted		Public Works	
Are there 'Greener' options av	ailable that:	Project Timel	ines
Are more energy efficient?	N/A	2024	\checkmark
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A	2025	\checkmark
Are less emissions intense?	N/A	2026	\checkmark
Better manage stormwater?	N/A	2027	\checkmark
Description of 'Greener' option	ns:		

Capital Request J.1		64	rato	aio	Dill	are		D	otio	nald	
	Homewood Ave, Berkley Ave V<			•							
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Watermain Replacement (Year 3 of 5)	Homewood Ave,		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	~	
	Project Rationa	le									
Projects Budget. This funding requ	lest represents year 3	of th	ne fui	ndin	g ne	ede	d to	con	nple		
Project Funding Source Levy			Lev	y	ng	On-G	Boin	ıg Ir	npa	ct (·	⊦/-)
Rate	266,700										
Grant			-								
Reserves			101	al							-
Other	000 700										
Total	266,700										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved			Publi	сW	orks	5					
Departments Impacted											
Are there 'Greener' options avai	lable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	2024	ŀ				\checkmark		
Jtilize renewable materials?	N/A										
Are less emissions intense?											
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options	:										

Capital Request K.1		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	onal	e
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Existing Stairway Replacement	Library			\checkmark					\checkmark		
	Project Rationa	le									
City staff retained qualified service	4		ondi	tion	Ass	sessr	nen	t (B	CA) for	the
Public Library located at 310 King that was constructed in 1996.	•	•						•		·	
Based on the results of the BCA, property in a state of good repair of Estimates exclude sales taxes and project management.	over the next 5 years a	ire es	stima	ated	in t	he ra	inge	e of	\$1,	167	,000.
Upon review of the immediate and recommend the existing stairway Budget of \$32,500.	•										,
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ing	On-C	Goir	ıg lı	mpa	act	(+/-)
Levy	32,500		Lev	у							
Rate			Rat	e							
Grant			Oth	er							
Reserves			То	tal							-
Other											
Total	32,500										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		F	Publ	ic W	/ork	\$					
Departments Impacted				ibra		5					
			-	iora:	y						
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ilable that:		Pro	ject	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A		2	2024	1				\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025	5						
Are less emissions intense?	N/A		2	2026	3						
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options	5:										
	-										

Capital Request K.2											
		St	rate	gic∣	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Exterior Double-door Replacement	Library		\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark		
	Project Rationa										
City staff retained qualified service Public Library located at 310 King s that was constructed in 1996.	•	•						•			
Based on the results of the BCA, th property in a state of good repair o Estimates exclude sales taxes and project management.	ver the next 5 years a	re e	stima	ated	in tl	he ra	inge	of	\$1,1	67,0	000.
Upon review of the immediate and recommend the exterior aluminum Street double-doors be replaced. E	framed double-doors									•	
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ng (On-G	Goin	ig In	npa	ct (+	·/-)
Levy	8,000		Levy	у							
Rate			Rate								
Grant			Othe								
Reserves			Tot	al							-
Other											
Total	8,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Impa	ct and Cooperation										
Departments Involved			Publi	ic W	orks	S					
Departments Impacted			Li	brar	Ъ						
Are there 'Greener' options avail	able that:		Pro	iect	Tim	nelin	65				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025							
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' options											

Capital Request K.3											
		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale	2
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Skylight Above Atrium Replacement	Library		\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark		
	Project Rationa	le									
City staff retained qualified servic Public Library located at 310 King that was constructed in 1996.	es to complete a Buildi	ng C						•			
Based on the results of the BCA, property in a state of good repair Estimates exclude sales taxes ar project management.	over the next 5 years a	re e	stima	ated	in t	he ra	inge	of	\$1,1	67,0	000.
Upon review of the immediate an recommend the skylights are rep									ent	City	staff
Project Funding Source					ing	On-O	Goin	ıg Ir	npa	ct (-	+/ -)
Levy	20,000		Lev								
Rate			Rate								
Grant			Oth								
Reserves			Tot	tal							-
Other Total	20,000										
Τοται	20,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		l	Publi	ic W	/orks	5					
Departments Impacted			Li	ibrai	ry						
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ailable that:		Pro	iect	Tin	nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025							
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		2	2027	7						
Description of 'Greener' option	IS:										

Capital Request K.4		St	rate	gic	Pilla	ars		R	atio	nale)
Item	Strategic Pillars Rationale pating for Staff Office ws Library I 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 adding for Staff Office ws Library I I 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 adding for Staff Office ws Project Rationale I								5		
UV Coating for Staff Office Windows			\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark		
	Project Rationa	le									
•	•	•						•			
property in a state of good repair	over the next 5 years a	are e	stima	ated	in t	he ra	nge	of	\$1,1	67,0	000.
recommend staff office windows	have a UV coating app	lied a	as of	fices	s are	e too	hot	in s	um	mer	
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ing	On-(Goir	ıg Ir	npa	ct (-	+/-)
Levy	5,000		Lev	у							
Rate			Rate	Э							
Grant											
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other											
Total	5,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperation										
Departments Involved			Publi	c W	/ork	S					
Departments Impacted											
						nelin	es				
Are more energy efficient?									\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A		_								
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A		4	2027							
Description of 'Greener' optior											
Description of Oreener option	15.										

Capital Request K.5		S	Strategic Pillars					Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	5		
Auditorium Floor Repairing	Library			√					√	4		
	Project Rati	onale										
City staff retained qualified servi Public Library located at 310 Kir that was constructed in 1996.	ces to complete a Bu	uilding (•				
Based on the results of the BCA property in a state of good repai Estimates exclude sales taxes a project management.	r over the next 5 yea	rs are e	estima	ated	in t	he ra	ange	e of	\$1,1	67,0	000.	
Upon review of the immediate a recommend the auditorium floor \$5,000.	•											
Project Funding Source			Ope	erati	ng	On-(Goir	ng li	npa	ct (·	+/-)	
Levy	5,0	00	Lev									
Rate			Rate									
Grant			Oth									
Reserves			To	tal							-	
Other												
Total	5,0	00										
Other Project Resourcing / Im	pact and Cooperati	on										
Departments Involved			Publ	ic W	ork:	S						
Departments Impacted			Li	ibraı	у							
Are there 'Greener' options av						nelin	es					
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024					√			
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025								
Are less emissions intense?	N/A			2026								
Better manage stormwater?	N/A			2027								
Description of Crosper's option												
Description of 'Greener' option	115.											

		Strategic Pillars					Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Heritage Research Archives Capital Improvements	Heritage Research Archives Capital Improvements		~	\checkmark			√		~	\checkmark	
	Project Rationa										
The City of Port Colbornes owns Heritage, Arts, and Culture divisi Colborne.	•		•							•	
Upon staff's review of the most r asssessment City staff are recor	-	•					ents	and	aco	cess	sibilty
Heritage Research Archives Acoustic Panels on the ceiling in Improved lighting and workstatio Carpet replacement in Archives	ons (\$5,000)		ll Arc	hive	es (\$	5,00	0)				
Further, City staff will continue so these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone		•	-								C
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone		•	recoį	gniz	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives.		•	-	gnizo erati	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source	e to the Museum Board	•	recoç	gnizo erati	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy	e to the Museum Board	•	recoo Ope Levy	gniz erati y e	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate	e to the Museum Board	•	Ope Leve Rate	gnizo erati y e er	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant	e to the Museum Board 15,000	•	Ope Lev Rate Othe	gnizo erati y e er	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves	e to the Museum Board	•	Ope Lev Rate Othe	gnizo erati y e er	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000	•	Ope Lev Rate Othe	gnizo erati y e er	e the	e nee	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000	and	Ope Lev Rate Othe	gniz erati y e er cal	e the	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000	•	Ope Lev Rate Othe Tot	gniz erati y e er cal	e the	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gniz erati y e er al and	e the ng (Fac Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that:	and	Ope Lev Rate Othe Tot	gnize erati y ee cal and and	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient?	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that: N/A	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe To eum	gniz v erati v e er cal and and ject	e the ng (Fac Fac Tin	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that: N/A N/A	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	erati y erati and and 2024 2025	e the ng (Fac Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A	and	Pro	gnize erati y ee er cal and and iect 2024 2025	Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that: N/A N/A	and	Pro	erati y erati and and 2024 2025	Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	e to the Museum Board 15,000 15,000 pact and Cooperation ailable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	and	Pro	gnize erati y ee er cal and and iect 2024 2025	Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo Goin s s	or th	ese	rep	airs.

		St	Strategic Pillars					Rationale			
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Heritage Resource Centre Capital Improvements	Heritage Resource Centre Capital Improvements		~	~			~		√	~	
	Project Rationa	le									
The City of Port Colbornes owns a Heritage, Arts, and Culture divisior Port Colborne.			•					•		-	•
Upon staff's review of the most rec accessibilty asssessment City staf	•	•									
Heritage Resource Centre Accessible door to access bathroo Improved lighting (\$2,500)	ms - (\$10,000)										
Further, City staff will continue see these key initiatives.	king alternative fundi	ng o	ppor	tunit	ties	to as	sist	wit	h ad	lvan	icing
Note: these initiatives have gone to repairs.	o the Museum Board	and		-							
Project Funding Source	10				ng	On-C	Goin	na Ir	nna	- 1 /	
Levy	12,500		Lev					3	npa	Ct ('	+/-)
	12,000		-	•				3	npa	CT ('	+/-)
	12,000		Rate	e				3	inpe	CT (*	+/-)
Grant			Rate Othe	e er					npe	CT (*	+/-)
Rate Grant Reserves			Rate	e er					inpe	CT (*	+/-)
Grant Reserves Other			Rate Othe	e er				3	inpa	ICT (1	+/-)
Grant Reserves	12,500		Rate Othe	e er				.3	inpa		+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa	12,500 Ict and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot	er tal				3	inpa		+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	12,500 Inct and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot	er tal			6		npa		+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	12,500 Inct and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot	er tal			6				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted	12,500 Ict and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot	e er tal and and	Fac	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai	12,500 Ict and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot	e er tal and and	Fac Tin		8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient?	12,500 Ict and Cooperation		Rate Othe Tot eum a eum a	er tal and and	Fac Tin	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	12,500 Ict and Cooperation		Rate Othe To eum a eum a Proj	and and 2024	Fac Tim	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total	12,500 Inct and Cooperation N Iable that: N/A N/A		Rate Othe Tot eum a eum a Proj 2 2	and and ject 2024	Fac Tin	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater?	12,500 Inct and Cooperation N Iable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		Rate Othe Tot eum a eum a Proj 2 2	and and <u>ject</u> 2025 2026	Fac Tin	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater?	12,500 Inct and Cooperation N Iable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		Rate Othe Tot eum a eum a Proj 2 2	and and <u>ject</u> 2025 2026	Fac Tin	ilitie	8				+/-)
Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	12,500 Inct and Cooperation N Iable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		Rate Othe Tot eum a eum a Proj 2 2	and and <u>ject</u> 2025 2026	Fac Tin	ilitie	8				+/-)

Capital Request L.3		S	Strategic Pillars					Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Museum Capital Improvements	Museum Capi Improvements	5	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Project R											
The City of Port Colbornes owns Heritage, Arts, and Culture divisi Colborne.	-		•							-		
Upon staff's review of the most r asssessment City staff are recor		-					ents	anc	lac	cess	sibilt	
Museum Floor repair in gallery (placehold investigation) Garage Roof repair (\$5,000) Gallery Lighting (\$5,000) Security Cameras (\$5,000) Generator (\$10,000)	er in the amount	of \$30,000	- to k	be c	onfii	rmec	l up	on fi	urth	er		
Surther City staff will continue of	ooking alternative	, funding o	nnort	uniti	oc t	0.00	cict	with		Von	oina	
these key initiatives.		-									•	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone		-	recog	gnize	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	airs	
hese key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source	e to the Museum I	Board and	recog	gnizo rati	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
hese key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source ∟evy	e to the Museum I	-	recog Ope Levy	gnizo rati /	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
hese key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source _evy Rate	e to the Museum I	Board and	recoç Ope Levy Rate	gnize rati /	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant	e to the Museum I	Board and	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnize rati / e	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	airs	
Further, City staff will continue se these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves	e to the Museum I	Board and	recoç Ope Levy Rate	gnize rati / e	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	airs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant	e to the Museum I	Board and	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnize rati / e	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	airs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total	e to the Museum I	Board and 5,000 5,000	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnize rati / e	e the	e nee	əd fo	or th	iese	rep	airs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp	e to the Museum I	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnize rati / e er al	e the	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved	e to the Museum I	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnize rati / er al	e the	e nee On-Q	ed fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted	e to the Museum I 5 5 pact and Cooper	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gnize rati / e er al	e the ng (Fac Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
hese key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av	e to the Museum I	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gnize rati / eer al and and	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	iese	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Imp Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient?	e to the Museum I 5 pact and Cooper ailable that: N/A	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Proj	gnize rati / er al and and cect	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	npa	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	e to the Museum I 5 pact and Cooper ailable that: N/A N/A	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Proj	gnize rati / e er al and and (024 025	e the ng (Fac Fac	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	npa	rep	airs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other	e to the Museum I	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Proj	gnize rati / er al and and cect	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	npa	rep	pairs	
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Im Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options av Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	e to the Museum I 5 pact and Cooper ailable that: N/A N/A N/A N/A	Board and 5,000 5,000 ration Mus	Proj	rati / eer al and and 024 025 026	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e nee On-C	ed fo	or th	npa	rep	pairs	

Capital Request L.4		Strategic Pillars					Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Roselawn Capital Improvements	Roselawn Capital Improvements		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Project Rationa										
The City of Port Colbornes owns a Heritage, Arts, and Culture divisior Colborne.											
Upon staff's review of the most rec asssessment City staff are recomr	-	-					ents	and	lac	ces	sibilt
Roselawn Accessibility Ramp, (estimate \$90, Accessible washroom (\$50,000) Roselawn Conference Centre tech Gallery Lighting (\$5,000) Generator (\$10,000) Signage (\$15,000)	,	rade		,000))						
-	king alternative fundi	ng oj	pport	uniti	ies t	o as	sist	with	adv	van	cing
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to	-		recoç	gnizo	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source	o the Museum Board		recog	gnizo erati	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy	-		recoç Ope Levy	gnizo erati /	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate	o the Museum Board		recog	gnizo erati /	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant	o the Museum Board 158,500		Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnizo e rati / e er	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn)	o the Museum Board		recoç Ope Levy Rate	gnizo e rati / e er	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn)	o the Museum Board 158,500		Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnizo e rati / e er	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000		Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnizo e rati / e er	e the	e ne	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 act and Cooperation	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe	gnizo prati / e er al	e the	e ne On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	pairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 nct and Cooperation	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gnizo prati / er al	e the	e ner	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 Ict and Cooperation	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gniz rati / e er al	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient?	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 Inct and Cooperation	and	Ope Levy Rate Othe Tot	gniz rati / e er al and and co24	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials?	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 nct and Cooperation	and	Proj	gniz rati / e er and and iect 2024	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 Inct and Cooperation	and	Proj	gnize rati / e er and and iect 2024 2025 2026	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 nct and Cooperation	and	Proj	gniz rati / e er and and iect 2024	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	oairs
Further, City staff will continue see these key initiatives. Note: these initiatives have gone to Project Funding Source Levy Rate Grant Reserves (Roselawn) Other Total Other Project Resourcing / Impa Departments Involved Departments Impacted Are there 'Greener' options avai Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater? Description of 'Greener' options	o the Museum Board 158,500 26,500 185,000 nct and Cooperation	and	Proj	gnize rati / e er and and iect 2024 2025 2026	e the ng (Fac Fac Tim	e ned On-C	ed fo	or th	ese	rep	bairs

tem		St	Strategic Pillars					R	Rationale			
	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
ighthouse Capital Improvement	s Lighthouse Capital Improvements		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
	Project Rationa											
The City of Port Colbornes owns Heritage, Arts, and Culture divisi Colborne.			•									
Jpon staff's review of the most re asssessment City staff are recon	•	-					ents	and	d ac	cess	sibilt	
ighthouse Security and lighting (\$5,000)												
Further, City staff will continue se hese key initiatives.	eeking alternative fundi	ng oj	oport	unit	ies t	o as	sist	with	ı adı	/anc	ing	
Project Funding Source			Оре	erati	ng	On-C	Goir	ng Ir	npa	ct (+	+/-)	
Levy	5,000		Lev	•								
Rate			Rate									
Grant			Oth									
Reserves			To	al							-	
Other Total	5,000											
	0,000											
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	pact and Cooperation				-		-	-				
Departments Involved		Muse	eum	and	Fac	ilitie	S					
Departments Impacted		Muse	eum	and	Fac	ilitie	s					
	ailablo that:		Dro	iact	Tin	nelin	00					
ra thora 'Groopar' options av	N/A			2024		Ieiiii	63		\checkmark			
Are there 'Greener' options ava				-								
Are more energy efficient?				2()25)							
Are more energy efficient? Jtilize renewable materials?	N/A			2025 2026								
Are more energy efficient?			2		6							
Are more energy efficient? Utilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense? Better manage stormwater?	N/A N/A N/A		2	2026	6							
Are more energy efficient? Jtilize renewable materials? Are less emissions intense?	N/A N/A N/A		2	2026	6							

Capital Request L.6		Strategic Pillars					Rationale				
Item	Location	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Museum Digital Communication	Lighthouse Capital Improvements		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
To promote and showcase Port C professional videographer to mak cultural services.		uem									
Digital Communication - Video of	Museum and Services	(\$12	2,000))							
Project Funding Source			Ope	erati	na	On-0	Goin	a Ir	npa	ct (-	-/-)
Levy	12,000		Lev								
Rate	,		Rate								
Grant			Oth	er							
Reserves			To	tal							-
Other											
Total	12,000										
Other Project Resourcing / Imp	act and Cooperation										
Departments Involved		Muse	eum	and	Fac	cilities	s				
Departments Impacted		Muse	eum	and	Fac	cilities	s				
Are there 'Greener' options ava	ilabla that:		Dro	ioot	Tin	nelin	00				
Are more energy efficient?	N/A			2024		Ieiiii	62		\checkmark		
Utilize renewable materials?	N/A N/A			2024					v		
Are less emissions intense?	N/A N/A			2020							
Better manage stormwater?	N/A N/A			2020							
Detter manage stormwater !			4	_021							
Description of 'Greener' options	s:										